

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,317 11/15/2001		Jozef Van Puymbroeck	P01,0345	9836	
26574 7	10/16/2003		EXAMINER		
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE			SOWARD, IDA M		
6600 SEARS 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
233 S WACKER DR			ARTORIT	TATER NOMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			2822		

DATĘ MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/980,317 PI		PUYMBROECK, J	PUYMBROECK, JOZEF VAN			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	AW			
		Ida M Soward		2822				
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress -			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is do for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply id for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min ill apply and will expire a cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.			
1)⊠ F	desponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{15 N}$	<u>ovember 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
·	aim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	aim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.							
8) <u></u> CI	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment.					
Application	Papers							
, —	e specification is objected to by the Examiner							
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept							
	opplicant may not request that any objection to the							
•—	e proposed drawing correction filed on <u>15 Nov</u>			) disapproved t	by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		: LLC C	\ (d\ a= (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	-	· •	30					
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/980,317

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed November 15, 2001.

#### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on 05/20/1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heerman et al. (5,929,516) in view of Dumoulin et al. (6,130,478).

Heerman et al. teach a substrate S having at least two metallized polymer studs PS & PH for soldered connections to a wiring and having conductor runs LZ which lead away from the polymer studs on a face of the substrate, each of the polymer studs having at least one step (Figure 3, cols. 3-4, lines 19-67 and 1-8, respectively). However, Heerman et al. fail to teach cylindrical projections. Dumoulin et al. teach cylindrical projections, wherein the projection is a cylindrical projection which is

Application/Control Number: 09/980,317

Art Unit: 2822

arranged concentrically with respect to the polymer stud; the polymer studs are provided with two projections forming two steps; the annular projections are arranged at a distance from one another on the step (Figure 1, cols. 3-4, lines 52-67 and 1-39, respectively). Since Heerman et al. and Dumoulin et al. are from the same field of endeavor (polymer stud grid arrays), the purpose disclosed by Dumoulin et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Heerman et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the metallized polymer studs of Heerman et al. by incorporating the cylindrical projections of Dumoulin et al. to provide a structure suitable for microwave circuit arrangements (col. 2, lines 49-51).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respects to polymer stud grid arrays:

Boone et al. (US 6,485,999 B1)

Lin (US 6,444,489 B1).

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims September 29, 2003 AMIR ZAPABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800